

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,693	09/19/2003	Kazutoshi Kaizuka	45144-00050 . 4704		
7590 11/29/2006			EXAMINER		
Squire, Sander	rs & Dempsey L.L.P	GRAHAM, GARY K			
14th Floor 801 S. Figueroa	Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	CA 90017-5554	1744			
			DATE MAILED: 11/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)		·- ·- ·- ·-			
		10/664,693		KAIZUKA, KAZUTOSHI				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Gary K. Grah	am	1744				
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	idress			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER - Extensions of time may be availab after SIX (6) MONTHS from the management. - If NO period for reply is specified a - Failure to reply within the set or ex	above, the maximum statutory period valued period for reply will, by statute ter than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no event, will apply and will ex ex, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim pire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed he mailing date of this c) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1) Responsive to comm	nunication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL		action is non	-final.					
′ 								
, —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are p	pending in the application.							
, ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·= · · · 	☐ Claim(s) is/are anowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/ar	=							
	Claim(s) israte objected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers		·						
<u> </u>	objected to by the Examine	nr.						
· _ ·	·		chiected to by the F	vaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	sheet(s) including the correct	-			ER 1 121(d)			
11) The oath or declarati	, ,	•			• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	•							
			05110000440()	(1): (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is r		priority under	135 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *	·—							
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	es of the priority document				01			
·	certified copies of the prior	•		d in this National	Stage			
• • •	om the International Bureau	·	• • •	_				
See the attached deta	ailed Office action for a list	of the certified	a copies not received	u.				
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PT		4)	Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da		•			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Paten Information Disclosure Stateme 		5)	Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 200405		6)	Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/664,693

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: Numerals 2, 3 and 46. The drawings are **also** objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: Numeral 44. The drawings are **also** objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "40" has been used to designate both the grooves (fig.2) and the hairbrush (fig.3).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamamoto et al (US patent 4,694,525) in view of Kaizuka (US patent 6,357,075).

The patent to Yamamoto discloses a hairbrush (fig.1) having a base or bristle mount (1) which supports bristles (2). A handle (3) is connected to the base to enable manipulation by a user. Yamamoto discloses that the hairbrush can be made as a single piece with the bristles and handle integrally molded or can be made by attaching the bristles to a bristle sheet which is then attached to the handle (see summary).

The patent to Yamamoto discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the bristles, bristle mount and the handle being made in part of a silicon dioxide based mineral powder which includes a far-infrared emitting powder.

The patent to Kaizuka discloses making bristles of a hair brush of in part a silicon dioxide base mineral powder containing a far-infrared emitting powder. The use of such materials is to promote hair and scalp health.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to make the hairbrush of Yamamoto of a material that includes in part a silicon dioxide based mineral powder with a far-infrared emitting powder, as clearly suggested by Kaizuka, to promote hair and scalp health. As the Yamamoto hairbrush can be of a one piece construction, it appears that a hairbrush so made that includes the silicon dioxide based powder and the far-infrared emitting powder will have such materials within the bristle mount and handle as claimed. If the powders are present in the bristles of the one piece hairbrush, they will end up within the base and handle as well.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan et al (US patent 2,607,064) in view of Kaizuka (US patent 6,357,075).

The patent to Sullivan discloses a hairbrush (figs.4,5) having a base or bristle mount (30) which supports bristles (16). A handle (31) is connected to the base to enable manipulation by a user. Sullivan discloses that the hairbrush can be made as a single piece with the bristles, base and handle integrally molded.

The patent to Sullivan discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the bristles, bristle mount and the handle being made in part of a silicon dioxide based mineral powder which includes a far-infrared emitting powder.

The patent to Kaizuka discloses making bristles of a hair brush of in part a silicon dioxide base mineral powder containing a far-infrared emitting powder. The use of such materials is to promote hair and scalp health.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to provide the hairbrush of Sullivan with part silicon dioxide based mineral powder with a far-infrared emitting powder, as clearly suggested by Kaizuka, to promote hair and scalp health. As the Sullivan hairbrush is of a one piece construction, it appears that a hairbrush so made that includes the silicon dioxide based powder and the far-infrared emitting powder will have such materials within the bristle mount and handle as claimed. If the powders are present in the bristles of the one piece hairbrush, they will end up within the base and handle as well.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K. Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys J. Corcoran can be reached on 571-272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,693

Art Unit: 1744

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gary K Graham Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1744

GKG

27 November 2006